

## SECTION 2



# STUDENT ACCESS



## Enrollment by Zip Codes Fall 2004

Zip Code	Community	LACC Students	Percent
90027	Hollywood LA	1,475	8%
90029	Hollywood LA	1,150	7%
90004	Wilshire LA	1,112	7%
90026	Central LA	933	6%
90028	Hollywood LA	767	4%
90020	Wilshire LA	699	4%
90038	Hollywood LA	617	4%
90006	Wilshire LA	598	4%
90046	West Hollywood	498	3%
90057	Wilshire LA	450	3%
90005	Wilshire LA	427	3%
90019	Wilshire LA	414	2%
90018	Los Angeles (Jefferson Park)	291	2%
90037	Los Angeles	288	2%
90007	Los Angeles	231	1%
90039	Northeast LA	220	1%
90011	West Wilshire LA	215	1%
90044	Los Angeles	199	1%
90036	West Wilshire LA	180	1%
90042	Northeast LA	153	1%
90068	Hollywood LA	152	1%
90062	Los Angeles	147	1%
90017	Central LA	146	1%
90016	Los Angeles (West Adams)	140	1%
91205	Glendale	131	1%
90065	Northeast LA	104	1%
90015	Central LA	95	1%
90031	Northeast LA	95	1%
90008	Los Angeles (Crenshaw)	92	1%
90012	Central LA	91	1%
90013	Los Angeles	88	0%
90047	West Wilshire LA	88	1%
90043	Los Angeles	86	1%
90003	Los Angeles (Watts)	84	0%
91204	Glendale	82	0%
91601	North Hollywood	80	0%
90048	West Hollywood	77	0%
90034	Los Angeles	74	0%
	All Other Zip Codes	3499	22%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>16,268</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Source:** The Los Angeles Community College District FTES Data Warehouse

**Note:** A zip code is listed under the community which has the largest portion of the zip code.

# Enrollment Sources

## Sources of Students: Top 40 Countries Fall 2000—Fall 2004

	Fall 2000		Fall 2001		Fall 2002		Fall 2003		Fall 2004	
	Students	Percent	Students	Percent	Students	Percent	Students	Percent	Students	Percent
FORMER U.S.S.R.	1,364	33.4%	1,493	32.3%	1,610	30.3%	1,467	30.6%	1,348	34.2%
KOREA REPUBLIC OF -SOUTH	327	8.0%	396	8.6%	721	13.6%	555	11.6%	465	11.8%
PHILIPPINES	332	8.1%	388	8.4%	442	8.3%	414	8.6%	426	10.8%
JAPAN	241	5.9%	261	5.6%	246	4.6%	241	5.0%	234	5.9%
EL SALVADOR	320	7.8%	270	5.8%	278	5.2%	241	5.0%	209	5.3%
MEXICO	215	5.3%	244	5.3%	241	4.5%	206	4.3%	160	4.1%
GUATEMALA	124	3.0%	119	2.6%	132	2.5%	105	2.2%	98	2.5%
IRAN	27	0.7%	48	1.0%	53	1.0%	50	1.0%	54	1.4%
BANGLADESH	55	1.3%	56	1.2%	50	0.9%	44	0.9%	46	1.2%
CHINA PEOPLE'S REP OF MAINLAND	31	0.8%	38	0.8%	51	1.0%	37	0.8%	45	1.1%
PERU	47	1.2%	55	1.2%	50	0.9%	46	1.0%	39	1.0%
ARGENTINA	22	0.5%	22	0.5%	29	0.5%	23	0.5%	37	0.9%
TANGANYIKA					1	0.0%	14	0.3%	33	0.8%
INDIA	24	0.6%	36	0.8%	35	0.7%	20	0.4%	31	0.8%
ETHIOPIA	46	1.1%	40	0.9%	35	0.7%	38	0.8%	30	0.8%
CANADA	16	0.4%	28	0.6%	38	0.7%	32	0.7%	29	0.7%
THAILAND-SIAM	35	0.9%	34	0.7%	35	0.7%	31	0.6%	29	0.7%
BRAZIL	19	0.5%	30	0.6%	20	0.4%	22	0.5%	27	0.7%
NICARAGUA	40	1.0%	41	0.9%	32	0.6%	29	0.6%	25	0.6%
HONDURAS	26	0.6%	34	0.7%	35	0.7%	20	0.4%	25	0.6%
KOREA PEOPLES DEMOC REP-NORTH	49	1.2%	57	1.2%	44	0.8%	25	0.5%	24	0.6%
ECUADOR	31	0.8%	38	0.8%	27	0.5%	20	0.4%	23	0.6%
VIETNAM REPUBLIC OF SOUTH	41	1.0%	43	0.9%	32	0.6%	30	0.6%	21	0.5%
COLOMBIA	26	0.6%	25	0.5%	37	0.7%	27	0.6%	20	0.5%
ISRAEL	14	0.3%	21	0.5%	16	0.3%	17	0.4%	20	0.5%
NIGERIA	18	0.4%	18	0.4%	19	0.4%	25	0.5%	17	0.4%
BULGARIA	13	0.3%	19	0.4%	21	0.4%	19	0.4%	16	0.4%
VIETNAM DEMOCRATIC REP OF N.	17	0.4%	20	0.4%	18	0.3%	20	0.4%	12	0.3%
FRANCE	21	0.5%	20	0.4%	21	0.4%	12	0.3%	12	0.3%
CHINA REP OF FORMOSA TAIWAN	23	0.6%	23	0.5%	25	0.5%	11	0.2%	12	0.3%
POLAND	3	0.1%	3	0.1%	10	0.2%	13	0.3%	11	0.3%
GERMAN FEDERAL REP OF WEST	10	0.2%	9	0.2%	8	0.2%	11	0.2%	11	0.3%
ROMANIA	19	0.5%	12	0.3%	15	0.3%	12	0.3%	10	0.3%
BOI IVIA	10	0.2%	11	0.2%	9	0.2%	11	0.2%	10	0.3%
ENGLAND	16	0.4%	18	0.4%	15	0.3%	15	0.3%	9	0.2%
HONGKONG	9	0.2%	8	0.2%	10	0.2%	15	0.3%	9	0.2%
PAKISTAN	7	0.2%	8	0.2%	10	0.2%	10	0.2%	9	0.2%
LEBANON	10	0.2%	14	0.3%	17	0.3%	14	0.3%	8	0.2%
BANGLADESH	11	0.3%	16	0.3%	18	0.3%	14	0.3%	7	0.2%
BURMA	9	0.2%	9	0.2%	9	0.2%	11	0.2%	6	0.2%
CEYLON	12	0.3%	13	0.3%	8	0.2%	11	0.2%	6	0.2%
BRITISH HONDURAS	14	0.3%	14	0.3%	19	0.4%	9	0.2%	6	0.2%
ALL OTHER COUNTRIES	386	9.5%	575	12.4%	770	14.5%	801	16.7%	277	7.0%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>4,080</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>4,627</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>5,312</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>4,788</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>3,946</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

Source: The Los Angeles Community College District Student Information System (SIS)

# Enrollment Sources

## Sources of Students: Top 40 High Schools Fall 2000—Fall 2004

	Fall 2000		Fall 2001		Fall 2002		Fall 2003		Fall 2004	
	Students	Percent	Students	Percent	Students	Percent	Students	Percent	Students	Percent
MARSHALL SENIOR HIGH	786	12.0%	884	11.0%	875	10.0%	775	12.0%	734	11.1%
BELMONT SENIOR HIGH	959	14.0%	981	12.0%	987	11.0%	786	12.0%	724	10.9%
HOLLYWOOD SENIOR HIGH	612	9.0%	665	8.0%	678	8.0%	661	10.0%	647	9.8%
LOS ANGELES SENIOR HIGH	470	7.0%	485	6.0%	582	7.0%	519	8.0%	499	7.5%
FAIRFAX SENIOR HIGH	381	6.0%	399	5.0%	433	5.0%	348	5.0%	364	5.5%
MANUAL ARTS SENIOR HIGH	294	4.0%	300	4.0%	326	4.0%	286	5.0%	279	4.2%
EVANS COMMUNITY ADULT SCH	161	2.0%	145	2.0%	143	2.0%	118	2.0%	122	1.8%
JEFFERSON SENIOR HIGH	130	2.0%	148	2.0%	173	2.0%	111	2.0%	107	1.6%
EL CAMINO REAL SENIOR HIGH	89	1.0%	93	1.0%	115	1.0%	96	2.0%	94	1.4%
DORSEY SENIOR HIGH	78	1.0%	79	1.0%	122	1.0%	88	1.0%	80	1.2%
ROOSEVELT SENIOR HIGH	79	1.0%	123	2.0%	150	2.0%	74	1.0%	80	1.2%
PALISADES SENIOR HIGH	54	1.0%	72	1.0%	70	1.0%	73	1.0%	78	1.2%
GRANT SENIOR HIGH	126	2.0%	129	2.0%	113	1.0%	87	1.0%	77	1.2%
HAMILTON SENIOR HIGH	77	1.0%	82	1.0%	93	1.0%	79	1.0%	73	1.1%
CRENSHAW SENIOR HIGH	93	1.0%	103	1.0%	122	1.0%	66	1.0%	70	1.1%
FREMONT SENIOR HIGH	74	1.0%	84	1.0%	93	1.0%	57	1.0%	66	1.0%
FRANKLIN SENIOR HIGH	62	1.0%	94	1.0%	112	1.0%	74	1.0%	63	1.0%
CHATSWORTH SENIOR HIGH	56	1.0%	82	1.0%	91	1.0%	52	1.0%	58	0.9%
DOWNTOWN BUSINESS HIGH	30	0.0%	56	1.0%	54	1.0%	46	1.0%	55	0.8%
GLENDALE SENIOR HIGH	47	1.0%	59	1.0%	78	1.0%	58	1.0%	54	0.8%
VENICE SENIOR HIGH	57	1.0%	61	1.0%	82	1.0%	46	1.0%	51	0.8%
TAFT SENIOR HIGH	51	1.0%	57	1.0%	65	1.0%	52	1.0%	50	0.8%
WASHINGTON SENIOR HIGH	35	1.0%	32	0.0%	77	1.0%	47	1.0%	50	0.8%
RESEDA SENIOR HIGH	45	1.0%	54	1.0%	67	1.0%	41	1.0%	50	0.8%
BIRMINGHAM SENIOR HIGH	68	1.0%	76	1.0%	82	1.0%	57	1.0%	48	0.7%
VAN NUYS SENIOR HIGH	41	1.0%	65	1.0%	68	1.0%	53	1.0%	46	0.7%
UNIVERSITY SENIOR HIGH	49	1.0%	72	1.0%	63	1.0%	48	1.0%	45	0.7%
NORTH HOLLYWOOD SENIOR HIGH	52	1.0%	59	1.0%	67	1.0%	46	1.0%	43	0.6%
LINCOLN SENIOR HIGH	48	1.0%	54	1.0%	68	1.0%	51	1.0%	42	0.6%
VERDUGO HILLS SENIOR HIGH	45	1.0%	58	1.0%	57	1.0%	53	1.0%	40	0.6%
EAGLE ROCK JUNIOR-SENIOR HIGH	44	1.0%	52	1.0%	49	1.0%	38	1.0%	39	0.6%
GRANADA HILLS HIGH	59	1.0%	72	1.0%	78	1.0%	45	1.0%	37	0.6%
GARFIELD SENIOR HIGH	62	1.0%	72	1.0%	79	1.0%	37	1.0%	36	0.5%
HOOVER SENIOR HIGH	27	0.0%	42	1.0%	47	1.0%	46	1.0%	34	0.5%
HUNTINGTON PARK SENIOR HIGH	41	1.0%	53	1.0%	63	1.0%	32	1.0%	31	0.5%
BELL SENIOR HIGH	23	0.0%	44	1.0%	53	1.0%	28	0.0%	30	0.5%
BELMONT COMMUNITY ADULT	33	0.0%	34	0.0%	31	0.0%	29	0.0%	29	0.4%
BURBANK SENIOR HIGH	18	0.0%	28	0.0%	36	0.0%	27	0.0%	27	0.4%
SANTA MONICA HIGH	20	0.0%	32	0.0%	35	0.0%	25	0.0%	26	0.4%
MONROE HIGH	35	1.0%	41	1.0%	53	1.0%	28	0.0%	25	0.4%
JOHN F. KENNEDY SENIOR HIGH	36	1.0%	53	1.0%	55	1.0%	26	0.0%	18	0.3%
ALL OTHER HIGH SCHOOLS	1,209	17.9%	1,714	21.7%	2,050	23.5%	1,044	16.4%	1,426	21.5%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>6,756</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>7,888</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>8,735</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>6,353</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>6,547</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

Source: The Los Angeles Community College District Student Information System (SIS)

# Feeder School Information

## API Base Report Feeder High Schools 2004

	2004 API (Base)	2004 State- wide Rank	2004 Similar Schools Rank	Participants in Free or Reduced Price Lunch	Parent Education Level - % high school graduate or less	School Mobility	Fully Credentialed Teachers	Multi- track Year- round school?
Belmont Senior High	514	1	3	83%	79%	15	79%	Yes
Marshall Senior High	634	4	8	75%	51%	9	83%	Yes
Hollywood Senior High	535	1	3	77%	62%	11	79%	Yes
Los Angeles Senior High	516	1	3	73%	70%	18	76%	Yes
Fairfax Senior High	612	3	5	74%	46%	10	83%	No
Manual Arts Senior High	508	1	3	81%	73%	12	78%	Yes
Jefferson Senior High	474	1	2	91%	91%	11	69%	Yes
El Camino Real Sr High	726	8	5	26%	21%	9	84%	No
Dorsey Senior High	475	1	2	64%	52%	17	63%	No
Grant Senior High		3	3	75%	49%	11	82%	No
Hamilton Senior High	640	4	6	40%	39%	6	82%	No
Franklin Senior High	565	2	6	82%	69%	24	77%	Yes
Roosevelt Senior High	533	1	4	81%	81%	10	78%	Yes

**Source:** <http://api.cde.ca.gov/reports.html>

### **About the Academic Performance Index (API)**

The API is the center piece of the statewide accountability system in California public education. The Public Schools Accountability Act (PSA) of 1999, requires that the California Department of Education (CDE) annually calculate APIs for California public school and publish school rankings based on these indices. The PSAA also requires the establishment of a minimum five-percent annual API growth target for each school as well as an overall statewide API performance target for all schools. A school that meets either API growth or performance targets is eligible for rewards under the Governor's Performance Award Program. If a school fails to meet its annual growth target, it may be identified for the Immediate Intervention/Underperforming Schools Program (II/USP). Eventually, the API will incorporate a number of indicators; however, for 2004, the API will continue to consist solely of results from the Stanford 9 norm-referenced assessment that is administered in conjunction with the Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program.

#### **2004 API (Base)**

The 2004 API (Base) summarizes a school's performance in the 2004 STAR. It is on a scale of 200 to 1000. It is based on the performance of individual pupils on STAR content area tests as measured through national percentile rankings (NPRs).

#### **2004 Statewide Rank**

All schools that receive APIs are ranked in deciles by grade level of instruction: elementary, middle, and high. A rank of 10 is the highest and 1 is the lowest. Each decile, each school type contains 10% of all schools of that type.

#### **2004 Similar Schools Rank**

All schools are also ranked in deciles by school type when compared to schools with similar characteristics.

#### **School Mobility**

This is the percent of students who first attended this school in the current year.